

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,997	04/10/2001	Adam Gabbert	5150-49000	9505
35690 75	590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			D. T. D. C. IV. T. D. C.	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/832,997	GABBERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatior  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1  2a) ⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	a □ 1-4	(DTO 412)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 2173

#### FINAL REJECTION

## **Response to Amendment**

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Response as received on **01-18-05**.
- 2. Claims 1-31 have been examined.

#### Status of Claims:

- 3. Claims 1-10 and 13-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaiser et al., Patent # 4,970,664, hereinafter Kaiser.
- 4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaiser and Kodosky et al., Patent #5,301,301, hereinafter Kodosky.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-10 and 13-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaiser et al., Patent # 4,970,664, hereinafter Kaiser.
- 7. With regard to claim 1, teaching a method for creating a graphical program including a plurality of portions of graphical source code to be executed sequentially, Kaiser teaches, in column 1, lines 30-42 and column 6, lines 11-17, a program consisting of graphical sources code which is executed sequentially. With regard to claim 1, further teaching displaying a plurality of frames in the graphical program such

Art Unit: 2173

that two or more frames are visible at the same time, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24 and in figure 2, two or more frames visible together. With regard to claim 1, further teaching the graphical program having a plurality of interconnected nodes, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 34 through column 4, line 2, a plurality of sheets connected to each other sheets comprising components connected by wire connections. With regard to claim 1, further teaching the program being executable on a computer system, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 30 through column 4, line 2, a graphical program made up of instructions, executable by the computer system. With regard to claim 1, further teaching including a portion of graphical source code in each frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, the user entering graphical source code into the environment via a schematic editor. With regard to claim 1, further teaching including one or more graphical program nodes in the frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 60 through column 4, line 2, the circuit data, comprising different components connected by wires, being entered graphically by the designer. With regard to claim 1, further teaching the plurality of frames defining an execution order for the plurality of portions of graphical source code such that during execution of the graphical program the plurality of portions of graphical source code are executed sequentially in accordance with the defined execution order, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24, the execution path of the frames being set up, and in column 1, lines 30-42, the sequential execution of the simulated circuit.

Page 3

8. With regard to claims 2, 15, and 22, which teach a plurality of frames being displayed in the graphical program such that each frame is visible at the same time,

Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24 and in figure 2, a signal path having portions that appear on separate schematic sheets.

- 9. With regards to claims 3, 16, and 23, which teach receiving user input indicating a desire to specify a plurality of portions of graphical source code to be executed sequentially, wherein displaying the plurality of frames in the graphical program is performed in response to receiving the user input indicating the desire to specify a plurality of portions of graphical source code to be executed sequentially, Kaiser teaches, in column 4, lines 39-61 and in figure 2, the user selecting schematic sheets to be added sequentially to the circuit and them being displayed on the screen.
- 10. With regard to claims 4, 17, and 24, which teach the plurality of frames comprised in a sequence structure, wherein displaying the plurality of frames in the graphical program is performed in response to user input indicating a desire to include a sequence structure in the graphical program, Kaiser teaches, in column 4, lines 39-61 and in figure 2, a plurality of frames that are formed into a sequence structure baring user selection of screens.
- 11. With regard to claims 5, 18, and 25, which teach each frame being displayed side by side in a left-to-right order, wherein the plurality of frames define an execution order for the plurality of portions of graphical source code such that during execution of the graphical program the plurality of portions of graphical source code are executed sequentially in the left-to-right order, Kaiser teaches, in column 1, lines 30-42, column 4, line 34 through column 5, line 29, and figure 2, a group of screens containing circuit

Page 5

Art Unit: 2173

elements connected to one another horizontally in which they are executed in a sequential order.

- 12. With regard to claims 6 and 19, which teach executing the graphical program, wherein executing comprises executing each portion of graphical source code sequentially according to the execution order defined by the plurality of frames, Kaiser teaches, in column 1, lines 14-42 and column 2, lines 38-40, executing a user arranged group of schematic sheets sequentially.
- 13. With regard to claims 7, 28, and 30, teaching including a portion of graphical source code in the frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 34-43 and lines 60-66, the schematic editor being capable of adding components to a sheet. With regard to claim 7, further teaching if two or more nodes are included in the frame, interconnecting the two or more nodes in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 34-43 and lines 60-66, wire connections between instances (components).
- 14. With regard to claim 8, teaching displaying a wire in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, a wire connection between instances (components). With regard to claim 8 further teaching defining endpoints for the wire in response to user input, such that a first endpoint of the wire is in a first frame having an associated first portion of graphical source code and a second endpoint of the wire in a second frame having an associated second portion of graphical source code, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, column 7, lines 33-49, and in figure 2, wire connecting specific net pointes (begin/end points of sheets). With regard to claim 8,

further teaching the wire being operable to cause data to be passed from the first portion of graphical source code to the second portion of graphical source code during execution of the graphical program, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, column 7, lines 33-49, and in figure 2, a wire connecting specific net pointes (begin/end points of sheets) for use in transmitting data between sheets.

Page 6

- 15. With regard to claim 9, which teaches including a portion of graphical source code in the graphical program that is not associated with one of the frames, Kaiser teaches, in column 8, lines 21-27, and in figure 2, including "stub net 32" as a access point to the leftmost sheet.
- 16. With regard to claim 10, which teaches displaying a wire in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, displaying a wire to connect components. With regard to claim 10, further teaching defining end points for the wire in response to user input, such that a first endpoint of the wire is in a first frame having an associated first portion of graphical source code and a second endpoint of the wire is in the portion of graphical source code that is not associated with one of the frames, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, column 8, lines 21-27, and in figure 2, two endpoints, one in the first sheet and one to the left of the first sheet. With regard to claim 10, further teaching the wire operable to cause data to be passed from the first portion of graphical source code to the portion of graphical source code that is not associated with one of the frames during execution of the graphical program, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60 through column 4 line 2, column 8, lines 21-27, and in

figure 2, the wires which connect sheets being capable of being used by simulators and timing analyzers.

- 17. With regard to claims 13, 20, and 26, which teach the graphical program being a graphical data-flow program, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 15-23 and column 3, lines 60-66, a program, having graphical representation of source code, in which data flows through circuits.
- 18. With regard to claim 14, which teaches a graphical program including a plurality of portions of graphical source code to be executed sequentially, Kaiser teaches, in column 1, lines 30-42 and in column 6, lines 11-17, a plurality of portions of graphical source code that are executed sequentially. With regard to claim 14, further teaching the system comprising a processor and a memory storing program instructions. Kaiser inherently teaches, in column 2, lines 15-23 and column 3, lines 30-43, a computer which contains both a processor and a memory storing program instructions. With regard to claim 14, further teaching displaying a plurality of frames in the graphical program such that two or more frames are visible at the same time, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24 and in figure 2, two or more frames visible together. With regard to claim 14, further teaching the graphical program having a plurality of interconnected nodes, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 34 through column 4, line 2, a plurality of sheets connected to each other sheets comprising components connected by wire connections. With regard to claim 14, further teaching the program being executable on a computer system, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 30 through column 4, line 2, a graphical program made up of instructions, executable by the computer system. With

Art Unit: 2173

regard to claim 14, further teaching including a portion of graphical source code in each frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, the user entering graphical source code into the environment via a schematic editor. With regard to claim 14, further teaching including one or more graphical program nodes in the frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 60 through column 4, line 2, the circuit data, comprising different components connected by wires, being entered graphically by the designer. With regard to claim 14, further teaching the plurality of frames defining an execution order for the plurality of portions of graphical source code such that during execution of the graphical program the plurality of portions of graphical source code are executed sequentially in accordance with the defined execution order, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24, the execution path of the frames being set up, and in column 1, lines 30-42, the sequential execution of the simulated circuit.

Page 8

19. With regard to claim 21, which teaches a memory medium for creating a graphical program including a plurality of portions of graphical source code to execute sequentially, Kaiser teaches, in column 1, lines 30-42 and column 6, lines 11-17, a program consisting of graphical sources code, which is executed sequentially. With regard to claim 21, further teaching displaying a plurality of frames in the graphical program such that two or more frames are visible at the same time, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24 and in figure 2, two or more frames visible together. With regard to claim 21, further teaching the graphical program having a plurality of interconnected nodes, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 34 through column 4, line 2, a plurality of

Art Unit: 2173

sheets connected to each other sheets comprising components connected by wire connections. With regard to claim 21, further teaching the program being executable on a computer system, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 30 through column 4, line 2, a graphical program made up of instructions, executable by the computer system. With regard to claim 21, further teaching including a portion of graphical source code in each frame in response to user input. Kaiser teaches, in column 3, lines 60-66, the user entering graphical source code into the environment via a schematic editor. With regard to claim 21, further teaching including one or more graphical program nodes in the frame in response to user input, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 60 through column 4, line 2, the circuit data, comprising different components connected by wires, being entered graphically by the designer. With regard to claim 21, further teaching the plurality of frames defining an execution order for the plurality of portions of graphical source code such that during execution of the graphical program the plurality of portions of graphical source code are executed sequentially according to the defined execution order, Kaiser teaches, in column 2, lines 20-24, the execution path of the frames being set up, and in column 1, lines 30-42, the sequential execution of the simulated circuit. 20. With regard to claims 27, 29, and 31, which teach the graphical program being interpretable or compilable to generate instructions executable by a computer system, Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 30 through column 4, line 2, a graphical program made up of instructions, executable by the computer system.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2173

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaiser and Kodosky et al., Patent #5,301,301, hereinafter Kodosky.
- 23. With regard to claim 11, Kaiser teaches the execution order in which the first frame comes before a second frame having an associated second portion of graphical source code (see column 1, lines 30-42, column 2, lines 20-24, and figure 2). Kaiser however, doesn't teach the data being passed from the first portion to the portion of graphical source code that is not associated with one of the frames without waiting for the second portion of graphical source code to be executed. Kodosky teaches a circuit grouping and execution program similar to that of Kaiser, but further teaches the data being passed from the first portion to the portion of graphical source code that is not associated with one of the frames without waiting for the second portion of graphical source code to be executed (see figure 3 and column 1, lines 23-43 which show the first portion of graphical source code passing data to both a piece of source code not associated with either frame and also passing it to the second frame). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kaiser and Kodosky before him at the time the invention was made to modify the circuit program of Kaiser to include the parallel execution of two independent items as did Kodosky. One

Page 11

Art Unit: 2173

would have been motivated to make such a combination because electronic circuits have this functionality, so it would be useful to be able to simulate it.

24. With regard to claim 12. Kaiser teaches a plurality of frames being a first plurality of frames (see column 2, lines 20-24 and figure 2), including graphical source code in the frames (see column 3, lines 60-66), and sequential execution of frames (see column 1, lines 30-42 and column 2, lines 32-40). Kaiser, however, doesn't teach a frame containing another frame of graphical source code, and displaying a frame that contains another frame containing graphical source code. Kodosky teaches a circuit grouping and execution program similar to that of Kaiser, but further teaches that a circuit can contain a frame that contains a second frame with a plurality of graphical source code included (see figure 3 and column 1, lines 23-43). Kodosky further teaches displaying this frame that contains another frame containing graphical source code wherein a plurality of frames are displayed at he same time (see figure 3 and column 1, lines 23-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kaiser and Kodosky before him at the time the invention was made to modify the circuit program of Kaiser to include the ability to embed a frame in another frame as did Kodosky. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because electronic circuits have this functionality so it would be useful to be able to simulate it.

## Response to Arguments

25. The arguments filed on 01-18-05 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Reasons are set forth below.

- 26. With respect to the applicant's argument, that an agreement had been reached in the interview conducted July 13, 2004, between the office and Mark S. Williams, and that the rejection was not consistent with the Applicant's understanding of the telephonic interview.
- 27. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that the office has no recollection of such an agreement being reached, nor does the interview summary drafted by the examiner recall such an agreement. Furthermore, the office was under the impression that the interview was conducted between Dennis G. Bonshock, Raymond J. Bayerl, and Jeffrey C. Hood; Mark S. Williams is not currently listed as an attorney on the case, nor was he believed to have been present for the interview.
- 28. With respect to the applicant's argument, that it is not clear where Kaiser teaches a graphical program made up of instructions executable by the computer system.
- 29. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Kaiser teaches, in column 3, line 30 through column 4, line 2 and in figures 2 and 3, a computer using a computer program (commonly know as a schematic editor), where a user enters circuit data graphically (via component symbols), for subsequent simulation and analysis.
- 30. With respect to the applicant's argument, that Kaiser doesn't teach a plurality of frames defining an execution order.
- 31. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Kaiser does teaches, column 3, lines 34-44 and lines 60-66, and in column 4, lines 53-56, a circuit modeling program comprising a plurality of schematic sheets connected through the use of wires, where the circuits use sequential logic (see column 1, lines 30-33). The pins, that define the

Art Unit: 2173

execution order, are in the frames. These frames, and corresponding connections, define a path in its entirety, where a preceding frame (with its corresponding circuit elements) must be executed to provide data to a subsequent frame (with its corresponding circuit elements).

Page 13

- 32. With respect to the applicant's argument, that there in no reason to combine the teachings of Kaiser with the parallel execution of two independent items and the embedded frames as did Kodosky.
- 33. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that both Kaiser and Kodosky teach systems which have code represented by figures where figures are partitioned into different frames which are connected thereby defining the execution order (see column 3, lines 34-44 and lines 60-66, and in column 4, lines 53-56 of Kaiser and column 1, lines 54-67 and figure 3 of Kodosky). It is further noted that Kaiser teaches in column 3, lines 34-41 and, as the applicant disclosed, in figure 2, schematic editors which are known in the art to allow for the simulation of parallel paths. With regard to the later response by the applicant that the applicant has not found an electronic circuit containing a frame that contains a second frame with a plurality of graphical source code included, the applicant is again directed to figure 3 of Kodosky, where the darker outer frame contains two frames the frame bordered by the page look and the frame with "true" in its upper wall. This would be an obvious modification to the invention of Kaiser because Kaiser teaches a display of partitioned schematic diagrams.

Art Unit: 2173

#### Conclusion

34. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 35. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G. Bonshock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 6:30 a.m. 4:00 p.m.
- 37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2173

38. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4-20-05 dgb

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173